Staff Performance Evaluation Program (SPEP)

Central Nine Career Center

1. Overview: This plan was developed cooperatively between teachers and administrators of Central Nine Career Center (the School) and is applicable only to certificated staff members who teach classes or provide professional services within the high school division. The evaluation instrument offered by our Successful Practices Network (SPN) coach was used as the preliminary guide in developing the Teacher Evaluation Form. The Central Nine evaluation committee agreed that a locally-developed plan offered more potential to positively influence student outcomes, will more accurately evaluate teachers in our Career Technical Education (CTE) format and foster world-class teaching and students than adopting the RISE, the TAP or other models being promoted across the state. The SPEP was developed with the understanding that statewide End of Program (EOP) assessments satisfy IC 20-28-11.5-4(c)(2)(A) and constitute "statewide assessments."

2. Components:

- a. Sections 3 thru 10 apply to all non-administrative certificated employees;
- b. Section 11 applies to building-level certificated administrators
- 3. Evaluator(s): teacher evaluations will be completed by an in-house master teacher, an outside vendor that provides a master teacher, building-level administrators, or any combination thereof as determined by the executive director (considered the superintendent for all purposes). The combination may be determined individually on a case-by-case basis. In the event that an outside evaluator, rather than an in-house Master Teacher, is chosen by the superintendent to provide the evaluation, such evaluation shall be formative and a building-level administrator (typically the principal and the curriculum director) shall provide the summative evaluation. Each teacher will be officially notified verbally, by e-mail or by letter no later than August 15th of each year who will be conducting their evaluation, though the School reserves the right to re-designate the evaluators as necessary.

Evaluations performed by a master teacher will primarily be formative in nature and all summative evaluations shall be performed by a building-level administrator (currently the principal and the curriculum director) as required by 511 IAC 10-6-2(b). In the case where there is one evaluator assigned, all evaluations will be conducted by that individual. All persons performing evaluations, whether administrators or administrator-designated individuals, whether in-house or an outside vendor and whether formative or summative, shall be trained in evaluation skills and provided support consistent with and as required by IC 20-28-11.5-5(b) and 511 IAC(a), as well as the proper administration of this SPEP. This includes, but is not limited to, training on how to collect and analyze evidence toward the summative evaluations and, if applicable, on evaluating evidence and the making of final summative evaluations. Training on

the collection and analysis of evidence should include the following topics: rubrics used to assess a teacher's professional practice, best practices in classroom observation, observation processes and logistics, giving feedback to teachers, analyzing student data, assessments and measures of student learning. Training relating to summative evaluations should include the following topics: summative scoring and professional development and remediation plans. Training may occur through professional development programs, supervisor-led training, virtual training or other similar means and methods. In addition, teachers evaluated pursuant to this SPEP may be trained regarding the following subjects: rubrics used to assess a teacher's professional practice, observation processes and logistics, analyzing student data, measures of student learning and summative scoring.

Master teachers must (1) have clearly demonstrated a record of effective teaching over several years, (2) be approved by the superintendent as being qualified, and (3) conduct evaluations as a significant part of their responsibilities. IC 20-28-11.5-4; IC 20-28-11.5-1.

a. Qualifications of Master Teacher: Master teachers are required to have substantial experience in curriculum development, professional development and mentoring, most of which is directly or indirectly related to CTE. They must possess a current teaching license as recognized by the State of Indiana. They must represent the "gold standard" in teaching and serve as a role model to other instructional staff. Master teachers should have at least five years experience that includes a proven track record in increasing student achievement as evidenced by placement results, student competitions, skill attainment or other factors associated with college and career readiness. Master teachers must have contributed to their profession through activities such as, but not limited to, conducting research or external program evaluations for certification, publishing articles or other work in reputable education or technical journals, teaching at the post-secondary education level, presenting at conferences, and/or receiving awards that recognize their educational talents. Additionally, master teachers need to be impartial, well-reasoned and excellent communicators with students and adults alike.

Timing of Evaluations: Observations and formative evaluations shall be conducted consistent with this SPEP and may be more concentrated or less frequent and numerous, as determined by the needs of the evaluator(s). The observations and evaluations may be announced or unannounced; they may be random or scheduled; they may be conducted briefly or may be for an extended period of time, though at all times shall be of sufficient length so as to allow the evaluator the opportunity to draw evidences of performance of the certificated employee, with at least one observation lasting at least forty minutes. A teacher will not be scheduled more than one observation in a one week period. When scheduling an observation, evaluators will also schedule a pre-conference, and a post-observation conference that shall occur within one week of the observation. This will provide timely and effective feedback for teachers that seek

improvement. A second observation cannot be scheduled without feedback from the first observation being provided from the evaluator to the teacher. A minimum of two observations will be conducted for each summative evaluation or as otherwise required by 511 IAC 10-6-5. Formative evaluations may be written or verbal in nature. Documentation will be maintained by the evaluator(s) of all observations and evaluations. A formal evaluation of a summative nature will take place at least annually, though may be more frequent at the sole discretion of the Superintendent. The formal, summative evaluation shall be completed prior to May 15th or at least 10 days before the last teacher contract day, whichever is earlier. Unless specifically determined otherwise, both the principal and the curriculum director will take an active role in authoring the summative evaluation instrument, remediation plan (if applicable), and will sign the document(s). The teacher will be asked to provide evidence, artifacts, and written narratives for all performance indicators any time prior to the scheduled evaluation discussion meeting. Whatever the teacher provides that is determined relevant to the evaluation criteria will be considered as part of the summative evaluation which will become part of a teacher's personnel file. Artifacts may be gathered and presented by the teacher, the evaluator(s) or both. The master teacher shall collect artifacts and evidence toward summative evaluations throughout the school year as required by 511 IAC 10-6-2(a). The artifacts will be evaluated by the summative evaluator as they are submitted, and then applied to a "running" version of the summative evaluation. Improvements to artifacts can be made throughout the school year; however, final artifacts are to be submitted prior to Spring Break of each school year.

- 4. Measures: In order to comply with the Indiana Code and Indiana Administrative Code, and in order to evaluate all aspects of certificated employees' performance, the Teacher Evaluation Form, Appendix A which is incorporated into this SPEP by reference, contains objective and subjective measures. These measures, as required or subsequently amended by 511 IAC 10-6-4(a), include those provided by the Indiana Department of Education based upon student achievement and/or growth on statewide assessments (as applicable), measures based on other assessments developed or procured by the School for the purpose of showing student growth and/or achievement and those measures closely aligned with content standards, as applicable, to reflect ambitious learning goals and proportional representation of content.
 - a. Objective measures are identified on the Teacher Evaluation Form as performance indicators 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, and 5.4. These include topics about Completion/Completers, Placement, College Readiness, and Skill Attainment. In order to be rated Effective or Highly Effective in these areas, the program for which a teacher is responsible must be producing results equal to or exceeding the State's expectations of the School. Trend data of no longer than a three consecutive year period may be considered by an evaluator in making a determination. These measures have been collectively weighted at 20% of the total.

- b. Measures identified as performance indicators 1, 2, 3, and 4 are considered more subjective in nature, and are intended to provide a rigorous measure of effectiveness. The rubric for evaluating these measures has been designed to indicate four levels of rigor in determining effectiveness of teachers and programs. Typically, a higher frequency of more complex and sustainable evidences or artifacts encourages a higher rating by the evaluator. A significant determiner between a rating of Needs Improvement and Effective is the quality or reliability of the evidence or artifacts.
- c. Appendix C serves as the rubric by which the ratings are determined for each performance indicator and to which all evaluators will be trained. Artifacts are listed as samples and are not to be considered as an exhaustive list. These artifacts may be modified or individually customized, including adding to or deleting from the list at any time by the evaluator. If the list is modified, the change must be done in writing and clearly communicated to the teacher being evaluated.
- 5. Annual Performance Rating: All evaluated certificated employees must be rated at least annually, but possibly more than once at the discretion of the Superintendent, as Highly Effective, Effective, Improvement Necessary or Ineffective. IC 20-28-11.5-4(c)(4). The State Board of Education has defined these ratings at 511 IAC 10-6-2(a) as:
 - (a) Highly Effective. A highly effective teacher consistently exceeds expectations both in terms of student outcomes and instructional practice. This is a teacher who has demonstrated excellence, as determined by a trained evaluator, in locally selected competencies reasonably believed to be highly correlated with positive student learning outcomes. The highly effective teacher's students, in aggregate, have exceeded expectations for academic growth and achievement based on guidelines suggested by the department, which shall be published annually by August 1.
 - (b) Effective. An effective teacher consistently meets expectations both in terms of student outcomes and instructional practice. This is a teacher who has consistently met expectations, as determined by a trained evaluator, in locally selected competencies reasonably believed to be highly correlated with positive student learning outcomes. The effective teacher's students, in aggregate, have achieved an acceptable rate of academic growth and achievement based on guidelines suggested by the department, which shall be published annually by August 1.
 - (c) Improvement Necessary. A teacher who is rated as improvement necessary requires a change in performance before he/she meets expectations

either in terms of student outcomes or instructional practice. This is a teacher who a trained evaluator has determined to require improvement in locally selected competencies reasonably believed to be highly correlated with positive student learning outcomes. In aggregate, the students of a teacher rated improvement necessary have achieved a below acceptable rate of academic growth and achievement based on guidelines suggested by the department, which shall be published annually by August 1.

(d) Ineffective. An ineffective teacher consistently fails to meet expectations both in terms of student outcomes and instructional practice. This is a teacher who has failed to meet expectations, as determined by a trained evaluator, in locally selected competencies reasonably believed to be highly correlated with positive student learning outcomes. The ineffective teacher's students, in aggregate, have achieved unacceptable levels of academic growth and achievement based on guidelines suggested by the department, which shall be published annually by August 1.

The Teacher Evaluation Form delineates these ratings (either as 4, 3, 2, 1) for each performance indicator. It is not required that a score be provided for every performance indicator and the failure to do so shall not invalidate or affect the validity of the evaluation. For any indicator that a determination cannot be reasonably made, the denominator will be reduced accordingly. An overall rating of 1-1.45 will be considered Ineffective, 1.46-2.45 Improvement Necessary, 2.46-3.45 Effective, and 3.46-4.0 Highly Effective.

- 6. Negative Effect. IC 20-28-11.5-4(c)(6) prohibits any teacher who negatively affects student achievement and growth from receiving a summative final rating of Highly Effective or Effective. This shall be defined by 511 IAC 10-6-4(c) as follows, or as subsequently amended:
 - (a) For classes measured by statewide assessments with growth model data, the department shall determine and revise at regular intervals the cut levels in growth results that would determine negative impact on growth and achievement. Cut levels shall be published by the Indiana Department of Education by August 1.
 - (b) For classes that are not measured by statewide assessments, negative impact on student growth shall be defined locally where data show a significant number of students across a teacher's classes fail to demonstrate student learning or mastery of standards established by the state.
- 7. Professional Judgment: When calculating the final Summative Evaluation, factors other than the observation scores alone must be taken into consideration. Walk-throughs are a part of the observation process, but are not put into the summative score mathematically through the

evaluation tool. Evaluators will utilize a professional judgment cap (+/- 0.5) that may be used on the final average of the scored observations. Any variation would have to be supported by documentation that would be shared by the evaluator(s) with the teacher throughout the school year during pre- and post-observation meetings.

8. Review and Recommendations: The evaluator(s) shall discuss the summative evaluation with the teacher prior to May 15th each year or at least 10 days before the last teacher contract day, whichever is earlier. IC 20-28-11.5-4(d). This will be accomplished by at least one of the building level administrators whose signature is on the Teacher Form. The evaluator and the School shall provide the teachers with meaningful feedback relating to growth opportunities for further improvement, as well as the identification of the teacher's strengths and areas for improvement. One modification of no more than one level up or down to the evaluation may be made following this discussion if the evaluator(s) receives or possesses convincing evidence to alter a rating. If more than one rating is being considered for alteration, the evaluator(s) must confer with all individuals involved in the process to receive a consensus before making a modification. If this delay occurs, the expectation that the process will conclude by the date noted above is waived.

The evaluator(s) shall provide an explanation of his or her recommendations for improvement and the time in which the improvement shall be made, as appropriate. IC 20-28-11.5-4(c)(5). This is to be done in writing and will be as specific as possible to ensure clarity and accountability. A copy of the completed evaluation, the recommendations and all documents related to the evaluation will be given to the certificated employee within seven days from the completion of the evaluation as required by IC 20-28-11.5-6(a). The evaluation and all related documents may be transmitted electronically or provided in hard copy form, either by hand delivery or placed in mailbox in a sealed envelope.

9. Remediation Plan: If the certificated employee receives a rating of Ineffective or Improvement Necessary, then the evaluator(s) and teacher shall develop a remediation plan (appendix B) of not more than ninety school days from the date that the plan is signed by the primary evaluator and the teacher to correct the deficiencies in the evaluation. The remediation plan shall link the teacher's performance evaluation results with professional development opportunities. These opportunities include, but are not limited to, coursework, professional development conferences, formal mentoring, modeling, coaching, and the creation of professional learning communities. There is no obligation to utilize the full ninety days and the certificated employee may bear the responsibility of paying the costs of such remediation plan, if any. The remediation plan shall require the use of the teacher's license renewal credits in professional development activities intended to help the teacher achieve an effective rating. IC 20-28-11.5-6(b). The teacher shall be required to demonstrate how any proposed professional development activities relate to his or her evaluation results and will result in improvement. Subsequent evaluations may commence but cannot conclude or use observations made solely during the remediation plan period. In addition to the foregoing, new teachers and those receiving substandard ratings

may receive additional direct support, which can include additional observations, coaching or mentoring.

10. Conference Procedure: A teacher receiving an Ineffective rating may file a request for a private conference with the superintendent or his/her designee not later than five days after receiving notice of his or her rating. IC 20-28-11.5-6(c). The superintendent or his/her designee may choose to simply listen to the teacher's concerns or to engage in a discussion about the evaluation, the ratings, the remediation plan, or any other relevant matters at his or her sole discretion. The superintendent is not required to provide any verbal response or present any evidence at the conference. The conference may be recorded and/or attended by such other personnel, including counsel, as the superintendent shall deem necessary on behalf of the School. The superintendent shall respond in writing to the teacher within seven school days of the conference with a determination. The determination shall either be 'inconclusive' and an alternate evaluator will be assigned to review the evaluation, or 'upheld' whereby the superintendent endorses the determination by the evaluator.

If an alternate evaluator is assigned, this person should emulate the characteristics of either a master teacher or a successful administrator or both and be familiar with the School SPEP. This person may conduct further observations and/or interviews with the teacher and/or the evaluators, but will not be required to do so. They will review the existing evaluation and share their opinion with the superintendent only. Upon review of the alternate evaluator's opinion, the superintendent shall either uphold the original evaluation rating or modify the rating at his or her sole discretion. This response shall be in writing and may be electronically transmitted to the teacher or delivered in hard copy by hand delivery or by placing the response in the teacher's mailbox. Subsequent evaluations may commence but cannot conclude or use observations made solely during the remediation plan period.

- 11. Parent Notification: Under Indiana law, students in certain identified courses may not be instructed for two consecutive years by two consecutive teachers receiving an ineffective rating in the preceding evaluation period before the start of the student's second consecutive year. IC 20-28-11.5-7. It is mutually understood that this applies only to courses within the Indiana Core 40 curriculum and the following ISTEP or ECA-tested areas: English/language arts, mathematics, science and social studies. There are no such courses currently offered at The School but if they are offered in the future, IC 20-28-11.5-7 will be adhered to, including all conditions about notifying parents of students who are placed in the classroom of an ineffective teacher of those classes.
- 12. Administrators: The Administrator Evaluation Form is attached as Appendix D. Building-level administrators will be evaluated at least annually by the superintendent or his/her designee in accordance with school board policy 1530. The evaluation shall be closely aligned with Indiana's building level administrator standards. Due process for administrators shall comply with Indiana Code 20-28-8.

- 13. Report to DOE: On or before July 31 of each year, The School will provide the results of its SPEP to the DOE, including the number of certificated employees placed in each category. Nothing in the report will identify the names or anything else personally identifiable that may allow DOE to discover the names of particular teachers in each category. IC 20-28-11.5-9.
- 14. Reliability: Results of this SPEP shall be monitored for reliability annually by the Superintendent and shared with the Board. Factors involved in determining the reliability of the process will be comparisons to statewide results overall, with groups or cohorts of similar demographic characteristics and in view of the performance ratings of the school as a whole. This monitoring will be longitudinal in nature and modifications will be made in order to reflect as accurately as possible the impact of teachers and administrators on the results of student learning in each program area and as a school corporation.
- 15. Assurance: All standards and procedures in this SPEP that are directly derived from current laws set forth in the Indiana Code and/or Indiana Administrative Code shall be deemed automatically amended without further vote to reflect such amendments as may subsequently occur.

List of appendices

Appendix A – Teacher Evaluation Form

Appendix B – Teacher Improvement Plan

Appendix C – Teacher Evaluation Rubric

Appendix D – Administrator Evaluation Form

Appendix E – Administrator Evaluation Rubric

Appendix F – School Board Policy 1530

Appendix G – Indiana Code 20-28-8

Appendix H – WS PDP Point Values and Experiences

This plan is endorsed by the administration, the governing body and the teaching staff by the following assurances:

- 1. The original plan was approved by teachers, administrators, and the Governing Board on March 8, 2012.
- 2. The amended plan was approved by at least 75% of the teachers* that voted on October 22, 2013.
- 3. The amended plan was approved by the Board in a public meeting on November 14, 2013.

Signature of Chief Administrator	 Date
Signature of Association President	 Date
Signature of School Board President	 Date

Central Nine Career Center Appendix A

Directions: Use the performance indicator descriptions listed in the Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Form to assess the CTE teacher based on the four levels of performance. The CTE teacher and evaluator are to document the supporting evidence and record the performance ratings for each applicable performance indicator. **Any performance indicator that is rated at Level 2.45 or less must be included in the Career Technical Education Teacher Remediation Plan.**

Performance Indicators	Performance Levels	
1. (20%) Management of Learning Environment 2. (20%) Monitoring of Student Learning	Highly Effective	3.46 – 4.00
3. (20%) Curriculum Integration 3.1 - Career Pathway/Curriculum Mapping	Effective	2.46 – 3.45
3.2 - Literacy Standards in CTE Program3.3 - Math Integration in CTE Program	Needs Improvement	1.46 – 2.45
3.4 - Technology Integration 4. (20%) Community Engagement and Outreach	Ineffective	1.00 – 1.45
4.1 - Work-Based Learning Opportunities 4.2 - CTE Student Organizations (CTSOs)		
4.3 - Professionalism and Professional Development4.4 - Dual Enrollment Agreements		
4.5 - Advisory Committee 5. (20%) Student Growth		
5.1 - Completion Rate5.2 - Placement		
5.3 - College Readiness – Dual Credit Attainment5.4 - Technical Skills Attainment		

1. Management of Learning Environment

Performance Indicators	Evaluator	Teacher
The CTE teacher fosters a		
culture for student learning.		
The CTE teacher utilizes		
classroom management		
techniques to facilitate		
student learning.		
Artifacts for this		
performance indicator may		
include:		
 a. Classroom Management Plan b. Seating Chart c. Class Assignment Postings d. Student Expectations Posted e. Safety Guidelines Posted in Lab Areas 		
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐ 2-Needs Im	provement 3-Effective 4-Highly Effective

2. Monitoring of Student Learning

Performance Indicators	Evaluato	or	Т	Teacher
The CTE teacher				
incorporates an				
understanding of how				
students develop and learn				
in planning for student				
learning. The CTE teacher				
assesses student progress to				
engage learners in their own				
growth, to document learner				
progress, and to guide The				
CTE teacher's ongoing				
planning and instruction.				
The CTE teacher				
recognizes individual				
differences in his/her				
students when creating				
learning goals.				
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
a. Samples of Student Work				
b. Current Grade Book				
c. Intervention				
Planning				
d. Individual Education Plans (IEP)				
e. Teacher IEP				
Implementation Plan				
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐	2-Needs Improvement	□ 3-Effective □	4-Highly Effective

3.1 Career Pathway/Curriculum Map

Performance Indicators	Evaluato		7	Teacher
The CTE teacher makes				
sound decisions about what				
is important for students to				
learn within and across the				
subject areas of the				
curriculum based on his/her				
knowledge of subject matter				
and curriculum. The CTE				
teacher develops, within the				
framework of state adopted				
standards and local				
curriculum, a learning				
sequence of lessons for units				
of instruction.				
Artifacts for this performance indicator may include: a. Lesson and Unit Plans				
b. Lesson and Unit Artifacts				
c. Curriculum Map				
d. State Standards				
(National				
Standards)				
Final Pating (Charle One)	1 Inoffection	2 Nanda Immunayara art	D 2 Effective D	4 Highly Effortive
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐	2-Needs Improvement	□ 3-Effective □	4-Highly Effective

3.2 Literacy Standards in CTE Program

Performance Indicators	Evaluato	or	7	Teacher
The CTE teacher is mindful				
of Literacy Standards while				
utilizing multiple				
instructional methods to				
meet student learning				
objectives while				
incorporating reading and				
writing skills.				
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
merude.				
a. Lesson and Unit				
Plans				
b. Curriculum Map				
c. Samples of Student Work				
d. Program				
Assessments				
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐	2-Needs Improvement	□ 3-Effective □	4-Highly Effective

3.3 Math Integration in CTE Program

Performance Indicators	Evaluato	r	,	Feacher
The CTE teacher is mindful				
of math strategies while				
utilizing multiple				
instructional methods to				
meet student learning				
objectives.				
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
a. Lesson and UnitPlansb. Samples of Student				
Work				
c. Lesson and Unit				
Artifacts				
d. Program				
Assessments				
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐	2-Needs Improvement	3-Effective	4-Highly Effective

3.4 Technology Integration

Performance Indicators	Evaluator	Teacher
The CTE teacher utilizes		
technology-based		
instructional strategies and		
resources to teach for		
understanding.		
Artifacts for this		
performance indicator may		
include:		
 a. Web-Based Instruction b. Technology-Based Instruction c. Program Assessments 		
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐ 2-Needs Improvement	t 3-Effective 4-Highly Effective

4.1 Work-Based Learning Opportunities

Performance Indicators	Evaluator	Teacher
The CTE teacher works with		
community based businesses		
to provide a formal and		
structured program to offer		
work-based learning		
opportunities.		
Artifacts for this performance		
indicator may include:		
 a. Internships/Clinic als/Practicums b. Job Shadowing c. Work-Based Field Trips d. Work-Based Guest Speakers e. Work-Based Classroom Projects 		
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐ 2-Needs Improve	ement 3-Effective 4-Highly Effective

4.2 CTE Student Organizations (CTSOs)

Performance Indicators	Evaluator	Teacher
The CTE teacher leads		
CTSO (or other recognized		
extracurricular program)		
that provides students with		
opportunities for leadership		
development, competitive		
events, professional		
development, and		
community service.		
Artifacts for this		
performance indicator may		
include:		
a. Membership b. Meeting, Minutes, Agenda c. Competitions (Local, Regional, State, National) d. Competition Documentation e. Service Learning Projects		
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐ 2-Needs Improv	vement

4.3 Professionalism and Professional Development

Performance Indicators	Evaluator	and Frotessional De	Teacher	
The CTE teacher is a				
professional who contributes				
to school effectiveness by				
collaborating with colleagues,				
educators, and administration.				
The CTE teacher pursues				
professional development				
opportunities to stay current				
with knowledge and practice,				
learning theories, and				
instructional strategies to				
impact student learning.				
Artifacts for this performance				
indicator may include:				
a. Attendance Record				
b. Conferences				
c. Presentations				
d. Staff Training				
e. Continuing				
Education f Samples of Student				
f. Samples of Student Work				
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐ 2-1	Needs Improvement	3-Effective □ 4-Highly Effect	tive

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Form 4.4 Dual Enrollment Agreement

	4.4 Dua	il Enrollment Agree	ement	
Performance Indicators	Evaluato	or	٠	Геаcher
The CTE teacher, as part of				
their Program of Study				
provides students with dual				
enrollment opportunities				
with post-secondary				
institutions.				
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
a. MOU with Post-				
secondary Institution				
on file				
b. Dual Credit				
registration or application forms on				
file				
c. Dual Credit Course				
Syllabi				
d. Dual Credit End of				
Course Assessment				
The Articulation/Dual				
Credit agreement				
establishes policies and				
procedures for academic				
and technical content				
alignment, student				
eligibility for dual credit courses, criteria for				
awarding postsecondary				
credit for dual credit				
courses and criteria for				
dual-credit teachers.				
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐	2-Needs Improvement	t □ 3-Effective □	4-Highly Effective
		_		

4.5 Advisory Committee

Performance Indicators	Evaluator	Teacher
The CTE teacher, as		
required by the state, must		
have a minimum of two		
advisory board meetings per		
year.		
Artifacts for this performance indicator may include: a. Member list on file b. Meeting Agendas on file c. Meeting minutes on file d. Donation forms (monetary and materials) e. Documentation showing donation of time of Advisory Committee Members		
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐ 2-Needs Improvement	t 3-Effective 4-Highly Effective

5.1 Completion Rate

Performance Indicators	Evaluator		T	eacher
The CTE teacher works to				
ensure the student				
completion within the				
program of study as defined				
by the Indiana Department				
of Education for 3S1				
Completion: The number of				
CTE concentrators who				
earned a regular secondary				
school diploma.				
1				
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
a. ACTED Agreed Upon Level Report for Completion (3S1) Central Nine Career Center				
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐ 2-1	Needs Improvement 【	□ 3-Effective □	4-Highly Effective

5.2 Placement

Performance Indicators	Evaluato	or	7	Teacher
The CTE teacher works to				
ensure that students within				
program of study are placed				
in accordance to the Indiana				
Department of Education				
definition of placement				
(5S1). Placement is the				
number of CTE				
concentrators who left				
secondary education and				
were placed in post-				
secondary education or				
advanced training, military				
service, or employment in				
the second quarter after				
leaving secondary				
education.				
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
a. ACTED Agreed Upon Level Report for 5S1 Placement for Central Nine Career Center				
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐	2-Needs Improvement	□ 3-Effective □	4-Highly Effective

5.3 College Readiness – Dual Credit Attainment

Performance Indicators	Evaluator	Teacher
The CTE teacher works to		
ensure that students within		
program of study are college		
ready by providing dual		
credit opportunities and		
state approved end of course		
assessments for dual credit		
courses.		
Artifacts for this performance indicator may include: a. ACTED Agreed Upon Level Report for Dual Credit Attainment for Central Nine Career Center or; b. Central Nine's local agreed upon levels for Dual Credit Attainment		
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐ 2-Needs Improver	ment 3-Effective 4-Highly Effective

5.4 Technical Skills Attainment

The CTE teacher works to				
provide students the				
opportunity to earn an				
industry credential in				
addition to their high school				
diploma. This industry				
credential is defined in				
accordance to the Indiana				
Department of Education				
definition of Technical Skill				
Attainment (2S1). Technical				
Skill Attainment is the				
number of CTE				
concentrators who passed				
state approved technical				
skill assessments defined for				
Indiana Career Pathways.				
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
a. ACTED Agreed				
Upon Level Report				
for 2S1 Technical				
Skill Attainment for				
Central Nine				
Career Center				
Final Rating (Check One)	☐ 1-Ineffective ☐	2-Needs Improvement	□ 3-Effective □	4-Highly Effective

	Performance Indicators (*Indicators 3-4-5 based on average of Sub-Indicators)	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	Average of Indicators	Final Summative Scores
1	Management of Learning Environment								
2	Monitoring of Student Learning								
3	Curriculum Integration*								
	3.1 Career Pathway/Curriculum Mapping								
	3.2 Literacy Standards in CTE Program								
	3.3 Math Integration in CTE Program								
	3.4 Technology Integration								
4	Community Engagement & Outreach*								
	4.1 Work-Based Learning Opportunities								
	4.2 CTE Student Organizations (CTSOs)								
	4.3 Professionalism and Professional Development								
	4.4 Dual Enrollment Agreements								
	4.5 Advisory Committee								
5	Student Growth*								
	5.1 Completion Rate								
	5.2 Placement								
	5.3 College Readiness – Dual Credit Attainment								
	5.4 Technical Skills Attainment								
	INDIVIDUAL OBSERVATION SCORES (Average of Indicators 1-2-3-4-5)								
		Perfor	mance Le	evel				_	

Highly Effective	3.46 - 4.00
Effective	2.46 - 3.45
Needs Improvement	1.46 - 2.45
Ineffective	1.00 - 1.45

Primary Evaluator:	Date:
Teacher:	Date:

Career Technical Education Teacher Remediation Plan Appendix B

All goals and objectives identified in this plan must be achieved within ninety (90) school days.

Teacher:		Name of Program: Date Revised:		
Goals Using relevant student learning date, evaluation feedback and previous professional development, establish at least three areas of professional growth	Performance Indicator(s)	Proposed Action Steps	Goal Achieved (Date)	
Goal:				
Primary Evaluator:		Date:		
Secondary Evaluator (if assigned):		Date:		
Teacher:		Date:		

Central Nine Career Center

Appendix C

The Career Technical Education (CTE) Teacher Evaluation Rubric is used to evaluate a CTE teacher. This rubric is an assessment tool that is designed to bring consistency and objectivity to the evaluation of a CTE teacher and his/her CTE program of study.

The 5 performance indicators in this rubric are indicators of a teacher's ability to teach students and measure the effectiveness of the CTE program of study. This rubric is used by CTE teachers and school leaders to evaluate and identify the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and challenges of a program of study and assess teacher performance.

1. Management of Learning Environment

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher fosters a culture	The CTE teacher makes no	The CTE teacher attempts to	The CTE teacher is effective	The CTE teacher is highly
for student learning. The CTE	attempt to provide an	provide an educational	in providing an educational	effective in providing an
teacher utilizes classroom	educational environment that	environment that	environment that is	educational environment that
management techniques to facilitate	acknowledges individual and	acknowledges individual and	supportive of individual and	fully supports individual and
student learning.	collaborative learning	collaborative learning	collaborative learning	collaborative learning that
Artifacts for this performance indicator may include: a. Classroom Management Plan b. Seating Chart c. Class Assignment Postings d. Student Expectations Posted e. Safety Guidelines Posted in	processes. The CTE teacher fails to develop a classroom culture of respect and collaboration. The CTE teacher shows no evidence or implementation of any of the artifacts under Performance Indicators.	processes. The CTE teacher attempts to develop a classroom culture of respect and collaboration. The CTE teacher introduces positive character qualities that are focused on college and career readiness. The CTE teacher shows minimal evidence of implementation of less than half of the artifacts under Performance Indicators.	processes that engages students, documents student progress and facilitates student learning The CTE teacher fosters a classroom culture of respect and collaboration that encourages students to support one another. The CTE teacher encourages positive character qualities that are focused on college and career readiness. The CTE teacher shows evidence of effective implementation of the majority of the artifacts under Performance Indicators.	engages students, documents student progress and facilitates student learning. The CTE teacher effectively fosters a classroom culture of respect and collaboration that encourages students to support one another. The CTE teacher encourages and reinforces positive character qualities that are focused on college and career readiness. The CTE teacher shows evidence of effective and consistent implementation of all artifacts under Performance Indicators.

2. Monitoring of Student Learning

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher	The CTE teacher fails to align	The CTE teacher attempts to	The CTE teacher ensures that	The CTE teacher ensures that
incorporates an	instructional strategies and class	align instructional strategies and	program of study effectively	program of study is highly effective
understanding of how	activities/projects to meet course	class activities/projects to meet	aligns instructional strategies	in aligning instructional strategies and
students develop and learn in	objectives and student learning goals.	course objectives and student	and class activities/projects to	class activities/projects to meet
planning for student learning.	The CTE teacher fails to effectively	learning goals.	meet course objectives and	course objectives and student
The CTE teacher assesses	engage prior knowledge of students	The CTE teacher occasionally	student learning goals.	learning goals.
student progress to engage	in connecting lessons that promote	engages prior knowledge of	The CTE teacher engages prior	The CTE teacher effectively engages
learners in their own growth,	student mastery of learning	students in connecting lessons	knowledge of students in	prior knowledge of students in
to document learner progress,	objectives.	that promote student mastery of	connecting lessons that	connecting lessons that significantly
and to guide The CTE		learning objectives.	promote student mastery of	promote student mastery of learning
teacher's ongoing planning	The CTE teacher rarely provides	3 ,	learning objectives.	objectives.
and instruction. The CTE	differentiated instructional strategies	The CTE teacher attempts to		,
teacher recognizes individual	that engage students with career	provide differentiated	The CTE teacher effectively	The CTE teacher effectively and
differences in his/her	focused content that is specific to	instructional strategies that engage	provides differentiated	consistently provides differentiated
students when creating	individual student needs.	students with career focused	instructional strategies that	instructional strategies that engage all
learning goals.	The CTE condense falls to also also falls	content that is specific to	engage the majority of students	students with career focused content
A .: 6 6 1	The CTE teacher fails to checks for	individual student needs.	with career focused content	that is specific to individual student
Artifacts for this performance	understanding and/or shows no evidence of the use of questioning	The CTE teacher inconsistently	that is specific to individual	needs.
indicator may include:	strategies that assesses student	checks for understanding at lower	student needs.	The CTE teacher constantly observes
a. Samples of Student	mastery of content.	levels by incorporating non-	The CTE teacher regularly	the students' actions/reactions to
Work	mastery of content.	rigorous questions that attempts	observes the students'	teaching and modifies instruction
b. Current Grade Book	The CTE teacher shows no evidence	to assess student mastery of	actions/reactions to teaching	seamlessly The CTE teacher
c. Intervention Planning	of any of the artifacts under	content. The CTE teacher	and checks for understanding	effectively checks for understanding
d. Individual Education	Performance Indicators.	attempts to observe the students'	at higher levels by	at higher levels by incorporating
Plans (IEP)		actions/reactions to teaching and	incorporating relevant	rigorous and relevant questions that
e. Teacher IEP		attempts to modify instruction	questions that assess student	assess student mastery of content.
Implementation Plan		accordingly.	mastery of content.	·
implementation i fair				The CTE teacher shows evidence of
		The CTE teacher shows evidence	The CTE teacher shows	all artifacts under Performance
		of less than half of artifacts under	evidence of the majority of	Indicators.
		Performance Indicators.	artifacts under Performance	
			Indicators.	

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Rubric 3.1 Career Pathway/Curriculum Map

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
Indicators The CTE teacher makes sound decisions about what is important for students to learn within and across the subject areas of the curriculum based on his/her knowledge of subject matter and curriculum. The CTE teacher develops, within the framework of state adopted standards and local curriculum, a learning sequence of lessons for units of instruction. Artifacts for this performance indicator may include: a. Lesson and Unit Plans b. Lesson and Unit Artifacts c. Curriculum Map		IEVEL 2 Improvement Necessary The CTE teacher attempts to align at least half of the curriculum for the program of study with the Indiana Department of Education's identified Curriculum Framework for the Career Pathway. The CTE teacher develops and follows a curriculum map for their program of study that shows evidence of alignment with state/national standards or dual credit courses. The CTE teacher develops a plan for the transition of students to post-secondary education or training. The CTE teacher shows evidence of at least half of the artifacts under Performance		The CTE teacher effectively aligns all aspects of the curriculum for the program of study with the Indiana Department of Education's identified Curriculum Framework for the Career Pathway. The CTE teacher utilizes an established curriculum map for their program of study that shows evidence of alignment with all state/national standards and dual credit courses. The CTE teacher incorporates a plan transition to post-secondary education or training plan for all
Plans b. Lesson and Unit Artifacts		education or training. The CTE teacher shows evidence of at least half of the	students to post-secondary education or training for the majority of students. The CTE teacher shows evidence of the majority of	incorporates a plan transition to post- secondary education or
e. National Standards Alignment f. Transition to post- secondary plan			artifacts under Performance Indicators.	The CTE teacher shows evidence of all artifacts under Performance Indicators.

3.2 Literacy Standards in CTE Program

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher is mindful	CTE teacher shows no evidence	CTE teacher includes a	CTE teacher includes state	CTE teacher includes state
of Literacy Standards while	of implementing Literacy	minimal number of state	adopted Literacy Standards	adopted Literacy Standards for
utilizing multiple	Standards for CTE.	adopted Literacy Standards for	for CTE in the majority of	CTE in all lessons.
instructional methods to		CTE.	lessons.	
meet student learning				The CTE teacher consistently
objectives while		The CTE teacher develops	The CTE teacher develops	develops rigorous, Quadrant
incorporating reading and		literacy-based lessons, which	literacy-based lessons, which	D, literacy-based lessons,
writing skills.		may include some higher level	include mostly higher level	which include higher level
		thinking and/or real world	thinking, some Quadrant D	thinking and relevant, real
Artifacts for this		connections.	lessons, and real world	world connections.
performance indicator may		CTE teacher includes minimal	connections.	Formative and summative
include:				
		implementation of artifacts	Formative and summative	classroom assessments are
a. Lesson and Unit Plans		under Performance Indicators.	classroom assessments are	consistently administered by
b. Curriculum Map			administered by CTE	CTE teacher to validate
c. Samples of Student			teacher to validate students'	students' mastery of literacy
Work			mastery of literacy standards	standards in context of career
d. Program			in context of career fields.	fields.
Assessments			CTE teacher includes the	CTE teacher implements all
			implementation of the	artifacts under Performance
			*	Indicators.
			majority of artifacts under Performance Indicators.	mulcators.
			reflormance indicators.	

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Rubric 3.3 Math Integration in CTE Program

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher is mindful	The CTE teacher shows no	CTE teacher includes a	CTE teacher includes math	CTE teacher includes math
of math strategies while	evidence of implementing math	minimal number of math	strategies for CTE in at least	strategies for CTE in the
utilizing multiple	strategies for CTE.	strategies for CTE.	half of the lessons.	majority of lessons.
instructional methods to				
meet student learning		The CTE teacher develops	The CTE teacher develops	The CTE teacher
objectives.		math-based lessons, which	math-based lessons, which	consistently develops
		may include higher level	mostly include higher level	rigorous (Quadrant D),
Artifacts for this		thinking, problem-solving,	thinking (Quadrant D),	math-based lessons, which
performance indicator may		and/or real world connections.	problem-solving and real	include higher level thinking,
include:			world connections.	problem-solving, and real
		The CTE teacher includes		world connections.
a. Lesson and Unit		minimal implementation of	Classroom assessments are	
Plans		artifacts under Performance	administered by the CTE	Classroom assessments are
b. Samples of Student		Indicators.	teacher to validate students'	administered by CTE
Work c. Lesson and Unit			mastery of math strategies in	teacher to validate students'
c. Lesson and Unit Artifacts			context of career fields.	mastery of math strategies in
d. Program				context of career fields.
Assessments			The CTE teacher includes	
			implementation of the majority	The CTE teacher includes
			of artifacts under Performance	full implementation of all
			Indicators.	artifacts under Performance
				Indicators.

3.4 Technology Integration

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
Indicators The CTE teacher utilizes technology-based instructional strategies and resources to teach for understanding. Artifacts for this performance indicator may include: a. Web-Based Instruction b. Technology-Based Instruction c. Program Assessments	CTE teacher shows little or no evidence of implementing and using technology.	CTE teacher uses minimal information technology and career-related software in classes when appropriate. CTE teacher requires a minimal number of students to use technology to master career skills. Evidence exists of teacher(s) using technology for instruction, but little or no evidence of students using technology. CTE teacher includes minimal implementation of artifacts under the performance indicators.	CTE teacher uses information technology and career-related software in every class when appropriate. CTE teacher requires students to use technology to master career skills. Students' informational and technological skills are assessed both in terms of their ability to use technology and their ability to make judgments about information, organize it, synthesize it and paraphrase it in the context of the occupational field. CTE teacher includes the implementation of the majority of artifacts under the performance indicators.	CTE teacher uses information technology and career-related software in every class when appropriate. CTE teacher requires all students to use technology to master career skills. Students' informational and technological skills are assessed both in terms of their ability to use technology and their ability to make judgments about information, organize it, synthesize it and paraphrase it in the context of the occupational field. CTE teacher implements all artifacts under the performance indicators.

4.1 Work-Based Learning Opportunities

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher works with community based businesses to provide a formal and structured program to offer work-based learning opportunities. The C evident	TE teacher shows no nee of providing any work-learning opportunities for nts. TE teacher shows no nee of any of the artifacts of the Performance	The CTE teacher provides minimal work-based learning opportunities linked directly to student learning. The CTE teacher has little or no documented communication between the school and business or organization providing the work-based learning opportunity. The CTE teacher shows minimal evidence of any of the artifacts under the Performance Indicators.	The CTE teacher provides many work based learning opportunities linked directly to student learning. The program of student ensures quality experiences for students and employers. The CTE teacher has documented ongoing or one-way communication between the school and businesses or organization that provide work-based learning opportunities for students. The CTE teacher shows evidence of the majority of the artifacts under the Performance Indicators.	The CTE teacher provides sustainable work-based learning opportunities linked directly to student learning. The CTE teacher ensures quality experiences for all students and employers. The CTE teacher has documented ongoing and two-way communication between the school and businesses or organizations providing the work-based learning opportunity. The CTE teacher shows evidence of all of the artifacts under the Performance Indicators.

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Rubric 4.2 CTE Student Organizations (CTSOs)

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher leads	The CTE teacher provides no	The CTE teacher provides	The CTE teacher plays an	The CTE teacher plays an
CTSO (or other recognized	documented opportunities for	minimal documented	integral part of the	integral part of the
extracurricular program)	service-learning, occupational	opportunities for service-	instructional program and	instructional program and
that provides students with	employability, or leadership	learning and occupational	provides documented	provides documented
opportunities for leadership	development using a CTSO	employability and leadership	opportunities for service-	opportunities for service-
development, competitive		development using a CTSO.	learning and occupational	learning and occupational
events, professional	The CTE teacher has no evidence		employability and leadership	employability and leadership
development, and	of artifacts in a CTSO under	Students have few	development using a CTSO.	development using a CTSO.
community service.	Performance Indicators.	opportunities to enhance their		
		occupational employability and	The CTE teacher is visible	The CTE teacher is highly
Artifacts for this		leadership skills or participate	and successful as evident by	visible and successful as
performance indicator may		in service-learning and	the majority of their students	evident by all of their students
include:		competitive events.	participating in local,	participating and receiving
 a. Membership b. Meeting, Minutes,		The CTE teacher has minimal artifacts under Performance Indicators for using a CTSO.	regional, state, or national competitive events within a CTSO. The CTE teacher includes the majority of artifacts under Performance Indicators showing participation in a CTSO.	awards or recognition in local, regional, state, or national competitive events within a CTSO. The CTE teacher includes all artifacts under Performance Indicators in a CTSO.

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Rubric 4.3 Professionalism and Professional Development

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher is a	The CTE teacher demonstrates a	The CTE teacher	The CTE teacher has not	The CTE teacher has not
professional who contributes to	pattern of unexcused absences	demonstrates a pattern of	demonstrated a pattern of	demonstrated a pattern of
school effectiveness by	and late arrivals.	unexcused absences or late	unexcused absences or late	unexcused absences and late
collaborating with colleagues, educators, and administration.	The CTE teacher exhibits no	arrivals.	arrivals.	arrivals.
educators, and administration.	evidence of professionalism and	The CTE teacher exhibits	The CTE teacher exhibits	The CTE teacher exhibits
The CTE teacher pursues	is disrespectful towards students,	minimal evidence of	evidence of professionalism	evidence of exemplary
professional development	colleagues, and/or	professionalism and displays	consistently and is respectful	professionalism continually
opportunities to stay current	administrators.	minimal evidence of respect	of students, colleagues, and	and is consistently respectful
with knowledge and practice,		for students, colleagues, and	administrators.	of students, colleagues, and
learning theories, and	The CTE teacher demonstrates a	administrators.	TIL OFFICE 1	administrators on a daily
instructional strategies to	pattern of failing to follow state		The CTE teacher	basis.
impact student learning.	and school policies and	The CTE teacher	demonstrates a consistent	
	procedures.	demonstrates an inconsistent	pattern of following state and	The CTE teacher shows
Artifacts for this performance	The CTE teacher shows evidence	pattern of following state and	school policies and	evidence of 16+ PDP
indicator may include:	of 0-5 PDP points (as outlined in	school policies and	procedures.	Points of professional
a. Attendance Record	the Workplace Specialist	procedures.	The CTE teacher shows	development activities
b. Arrival Record	Professional Development Plan	The CTE teacher shows	evidence of 11-15 PDP Points	outside the school.
c. Conferences	Point Values and Experiences) of	evidence of 6-10 PDP Points	of professional development	The CTE teacher takes on
d. Presentations	professional development	of professional development	activities outside the school	leadership roles in
e. Staff Training	activities outside the school that	activities outside the school	that develop the knowledge	professional learning
f. Continuing	update their knowledge and	that strengthen the CTE	and skills of the CTE teacher	communities.
Education g. Samples of Student	skills.	teacher's knowledge and skills.	or has a positive impact on	communices.
g. Samples of Student Work	SKIIIS.	teacher's knowledge and skins.	student learning.	The CTE teacher takes
WOIK	The CTE teacher takes advantage	The CTE teacher takes	student learning.	advantage of all required
	of less than half of the required	advantage of some (75%) of	The CTE teacher takes	professional development
	professional development	the required professional	advantage of a majority (85%)	opportunities offered by the
	opportunities offered by the	development opportunities	of the required professional	school.
	school.	offered by the school.	development opportunities	
	SCHOOL.		offered by the school.	

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Rubric 4.4 Dual Enrollment Agreement

D 6		Dual Enrollment Agreen	•	LEXTEL 4
Performance	LEVEL 1 Ineffective	LEVEL 2 Improvement Necessary	LEVEL 3 Effective	LEVEL 4 Highly Effective
Indicators		-		
The CTE teacher, as part of	The CTE teacher shows no	The CTE teacher has begun	The CTE teacher is supported	The CTE teacher is
their Program of Study,	evidence of starting the	the application process to be	by articulation/agency	supported by
provides students with dual	application process to be	approved for an	agreements with one	articulation/agency
enrollment opportunities	approved for an	articulation/agency agreement	postsecondary institution.	agreements with one or
with post-secondary	articulation/agency agreement	with at least one post-	Agreements are viewed as	more postsecondary
institutions.	with at least one post-secondary	secondary institution for at	essential in creating maximum	institutions. Agreements are
	institution for at least one of the	least half of the dual credit	educational opportunities.	viewed as essential in
Artifacts for this	dual credit courses within the	courses within the career	THE OFFICE A	creating maximum
performance indicator may	Career Pathway for the program	pathway for the program of	The CTE teacher ensures that	educational opportunities.
include:	of study.	study.	the eligibility criteria for	
a. MOU with Post-			enrollment in dual credit CTE	The CTE teacher ensures
secondary Institution			courses address the required	that the eligibility criteria for
on file			technical skills and set the	enrollment in dual credit
b. Dual Credit			same college placement	CTE courses address the
registration or			standards in reading, writing	required technical skills and
application forms on			and mathematics for CTE and	set the same college
file			academic dual credit courses.	placement standards in
c. Dual Credit Course Syllabi			The CTE to all a significant and a	reading, writing and
d. Dual Credit End of			The CTE teacher is approved	mathematics for CTE and
Course Assessment			for the majority of the dual credit courses available within	academic dual credit courses.
The Articulation/Dual				The CTE teacher is
Credit agreement establishes			the career pathway for the	
policies and procedures for			program of study for a specific	approved for all dual credit
academic and technical			post-secondary institution.	courses available within their
content alignment, student			The program of study shows	career pathway with an
eligibility for dual credit			evidence of most of the	approved post-secondary
courses, criteria for awarding			artifacts in the Performance	institution.
postsecondary credit for dual			Indicators.	The program of study shows
credit courses and criteria				evidence of all artifacts in
for dual-credit teachers.				the Performance Indicators.
				and I diffinitive indicators.

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Rubric 4.5 Advisory Committee

5.1 Completion Rate

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
marcators				
The CTE teacher works to	The current or three year average	The current or three year	The current or three year	The current or three year
ensure the student	percentage of program	average percentage of program	average percentage of program	average percentage of
completion within the	completers for program of study	completers for program of	completers for program of	program completers for
program of study as defined	as defined by Central Nine's	study as defined by Central	study as defined by Central	program of study as defined
by the Indiana Department	Agreed Upon Level is greater	Nine's Agreed Upon Level is	Nine's Agreed Upon Level is	by Central Nine's Agreed
of Education for 3S1	than 5% below the local goal for	below the local goal for	at or above by 5% of the local	Upon Level is 5% or more
Completion: The number of	completion.	completion by 1-5%.	goal for completion.	above the local goal for
CTE concentrators who				completion.
earned a regular secondary				
school diploma.				
Artifacts for this performance indicator may include: a. ACTED Agreed Upon Level Report for Completion (3S1) Central Nine Career Center				

5.2 Placement

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher works to	The current or three year average	The current or three year	The current or three year	The current or three year
ensure that students within	placement percentage of program	average placement percentage	average placement percentage	average placement
program of study are placed	concentrators for program of	of program concentrators for	of program concentrators for	percentage of program
in accordance to the Indiana	study as defined by Central	program of study as defined by	program of study as defined by	concentrators for program
Department of Education	Nine's Agreed Upon Level is	Central Nine's Agreed Upon	Central Nine's Agreed Upon	of study as defined by
definition of placement	greater than 5% below the local	Level is below the local goal	Level is at or above by up to	Central Nine's Agreed Upon
(5S1). Placement is the	goal for placement.	for placement by 1-5%.	5% of the local goal for	Level is 5% or more above
number of CTE			placement.	the local goal for placement.
concentrators who left				
secondary education and				
were placed in post-				
secondary education or				
advanced training, military				
service, or employment in				
the second quarter after				
leaving secondary education.				
Artifacts for this performance indicator may include:				
a. ACTED Agreed Upon Level Report for 5S1 Placement for Central Nine Career Center				

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Rubric 5.3 College Readiness – Dual Credit Attainment

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher works to	The current or three year average	The current or three year	The current or three year	The current or three year
ensure that students within	dual credit attainment percentage	average dual credit percentage	average dual credit attainment	average dual credit
program of study are college	of eligible program concentrators	of eligible program	percentage of eligible program	attainment percentage of
ready by providing dual	for program of study as defined	concentrators for program of	concentrators for program of	eligible program
credit opportunities and	by Central Nine's Agreed Upon	study as defined by Central	study as defined by Central	concentrators for program
state approved end of course	Level is greater than 5% below	Nine's Agreed Upon Level is	Nine's Agreed Upon Level is	of study as defined by
assessments for dual credit	the local goal for dual credit.	below the local goal for dual	at or above by up to 5% of the	Central Nine's Agreed Upon
courses.		credit by 1-5%.	local goal for dual credit.	Level is 5% or more above
				the local goal for dual credit.
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
 a. ACTED Agreed Upon Level Report for Dual Credit Attainment for Central Nine Career Center or; b. Central Nine's local agreed upon levels for Dual Credit Attainment 				

Career Technical Education Teacher Evaluation Rubric 5.4 Technical Skills Attainment

Performance	LEVEL 1	LEVEL 2	LEVEL 3	LEVEL 4
Indicators	Ineffective	Improvement Necessary	Effective	Highly Effective
The CTE teacher works to	The current or three year average	The current or three year	The current or three year	The current or three year
provide students the	percentage of program	average percentage of program	average percentage of program	average percentage of
opportunity to earn an	concentrators for program of	concentrators for program of	concentrators for program of	program concentrators for
industry credential in	study as defined by Central	study as defined by Central	study as defined by Central	program of study as defined
addition to their high school	Nine's Agreed Upon Level is	Nine's Agreed Upon Level is	Nine's Agreed Upon Level is	by Central Nine's Agreed
diploma. This industry	greater than 5% below the local	below the local goal for	at or above by up to 5% of the	Upon Level is 5% or more
credential is defined in	goal for Technical Skill	Technical Skill Attainment by	local goal for Technical Skill	above the local goal for
accordance to the Indiana	Attainment.	1-5%.	Attainment.	Technical Skill
Department of Education				Attainment.11/
definition of Technical Skill				
Attainment (2S1). Technical				
Skill Attainment is the				
number of CTE				
concentrators who passed				
state approved technical skill				
assessments defined for				
Indiana Career Pathways.				
Artifacts for this				
performance indicator may				
include:				
a. ACTED Agreed				
Upon Level Report				
for 2S1 Technical Skill Attainment for				
Central Nine				
Career Center				